

A model of individual clustering with vanishing diffusion

Elissar Nasreddine

*Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université de Toulouse,
F-31062 Toulouse cedex 9, France*

e-mail: elissar.nasreddine@math.univ-toulouse.fr

January 22, 2013

Abstract. We consider a model of individual clustering with two specific reproduction rates and small diffusion parameter in one space dimension. It consists of a drift-diffusion equation for the population density coupled to an elliptic equation for the velocity of individuals. We prove the convergence (in suitable topologies) of the solution of the problem to the unique solution of the limit transport problem, as the diffusion coefficient tends to zero.

1 Introduction

In [6], a model for the dispersal of individuals with an additional aggregation mechanism is proposed. More precisely, the population density $u(t, x)$ at location $x \in \Omega$ where Ω is an open bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $1 \leq N \leq 3$, and time $t > 0$ solves the convection-diffusion equation

$$\partial_t u = \delta \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \boldsymbol{\omega}) + r u E(u), \quad (1)$$

where $\delta > 0$, $r \geq 0$ and E is the net rate reproduction per individual. This equation is coupled to an elliptic equation for the velocity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ which is assumed to be in the direction of increasing $E(u)$, say, of the form $\lambda \nabla E(u)$ with $\lambda > 0$. The evolution of the velocity $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is described by

$$-\varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\omega} = \lambda \nabla E(u), \quad (2)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\omega}$ is simply to smooth out any sharp local variation in $\nabla E(u)$ so that $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ represents a local average of the velocity $\lambda \nabla E(u)$.

We supplement (1) and (2) with no-flux boundary conditions

$$n \cdot \nabla u = n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

as suggested in [6] where n is the outward normal of $\partial\Omega$. In addition, in dimension 2 or 3, we impose the following additional condition given in [3, 4, 12] to guarantee the well-posedness of the elliptic system (2)

$$\partial_n \boldsymbol{\omega} \times n = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

As usual, $v \times \omega$ is the number $v_1 \omega_1 + v_2 \omega_2$ if $N = 2$ and the vector field $(v_2 \omega_3 - v_3 \omega_2, -v_1 \omega_3 + v_3 \omega_1, v_1 \omega_2 - v_2 \omega_1)$ if $N = 3$.

We are interested here in the case where the aggregation mechanism is dominant, that is, the diffusivity δ is small. For biological models, this can change dramatically the dynamical behaviour of the solutions, and might generate finite time blow-up such

as for the Keller-Segel system, see [11] for instance. Nevertheless, there are situation for which the small diffusivity limit is somehow “stable”, including some models from semiconductor physics, see Markowich and Szmolyan [8], and for the Keller-Segel system with volume-filling effect, see [5, 10]. There, the authors prove the convergence, in the small diffusivity limit, of the solutions of the parabolic systems to weak entropy solutions of the corresponding hyperbolic systems. A related field of research which is currently very active is the analysis of the so-called aggregation equation $\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(K(u)) = 0$ where K is a nonlocal linear operator, see [7, 1], and the references therein.

Taking the case of small diffusivity as a motivation, we will study the system (1), (2), (3) and (4), in the limit of vanishing diffusivity δ . More precisely, given a sufficiently smooth function E , parameters $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r \geq 0$, our aim in this paper is to investigate the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ of the following one dimensional system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_\delta &= \delta \partial_x^2 u_\delta - \partial_x(u_\delta \varphi_\delta) + r u_\delta E(u_\delta), & x \in (-1, 1), t > 0 \\ -\varepsilon \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta + \varphi_\delta &= \partial_x E(u_\delta), & x \in (-1, 1), t > 0 \\ \partial_x u_\delta(t, \pm 1) &= \varphi_\delta(t, \pm 1) = 0, & t > 0 \\ u_\delta(0, x) &= u_0(x), & x \in (-1, 1). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where E has two specific forms suggested in [6], namely

$$E(u) = 1 - u \quad (6)$$

or

$$E(u) = (1 - u)(u - a), \quad \text{for some } a \in (0, 1). \quad (7)$$

Given $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$, the existence and uniqueness of a global solution of (5) have been shown in [9], and the purpose of this paper is to prove that $(u_\delta, \varphi_\delta)$ converges to a solution of the nonlocal transport problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u &= -\partial_x(u \varphi) + r u E(u), & x \in (-1, 1), t > 0, \\ -\varepsilon \partial_x^2 \varphi + \varphi &= \partial_x E(u), & x \in (-1, 1), t > 0, \\ \varphi(t, \pm 1) &= 0, & t > 0, \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), & x \in (-1, 1), \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

as the diffusion coefficient δ approaches zero. This leads, in a natural way, to the existence of a smooth solution of (8), the uniqueness being established in Proposition 4.2.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state the main results and focus on the two specific forms of E suggested in [6]: the “bistable case” (7), see Theorem 2.1, and the “monostable case” (6), see Theorem 2.2. In Section 3, we recall some results of existence and uniqueness of a global solution of (5) obtained in [9]. Section 4 is devoted to the uniqueness issue of smooth solutions of the transport problem (8). In Section 5, we focus on the bistable case (7). We derive a priori estimates on $(u_\delta, \varphi_\delta)$, which are uniformly valid in δ , and particularly we derive a lower bound for $\partial_x \varphi_\delta$ and an $L^\infty(W^{1,1})$ estimate on u_δ which leads to an $L^\infty(W^{2,2})$ bound on u_δ . these estimates imply, by a compactness argument, the existence of accumulation points of any sequence $(u_\delta, \varphi_\delta)_\delta$. Thanks to Section 3, we conclude that the limit of $(u_\delta, \varphi_\delta)_\delta$ is unique, and the whole family $(u_\delta, \varphi_\delta)$ converges to the unique solution of (8) with $E(u) = (1 - u)(u - a)$. In Section 6, we analyse the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ of (5) in the monostable case (6). This analysis is quite similar to that of the previous case, except for the first estimate.

2 Main results

Throughout this paper, and unless otherwise stated, we assume that

$$\delta \in (0, 1), \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad r \geq 0.$$

In [9], the global existence and uniqueness of smooth solution of (5) are shown when $E(u)$ has the structure (6) or (7). Our first result gives the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (5) in the bistable case, that is when $E(u) = (1 - u)(u - a)$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that u_0 is a nonnegative function in $W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ and $E(u) = (1 - u)(u - a)$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$. For $\delta > 0$, let u_δ be the global nonnegative solution to (5) given by Theorem 3.2 below. Then, for all $T > 0$*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u_\delta(t) - u(t)\|_C = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T),$$

where $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(-1, 1)) \cap L^\infty((0, T); W^{1,2}(-1, 1))$ is the unique smooth solution of the corresponding transport system

$$\partial_t u = -\partial_x(u \varphi) + r u (1 - u)(u - a), \quad x \in (-1, 1), t > 0, \quad (9)$$

$$-\varepsilon \partial_x^2 \varphi + \varphi = (-2u + a + 1) \partial_x u, \quad x \in (-1, 1), t > 0 \quad (10)$$

with boundary and initial conditions

$$\varphi(t, \pm 1) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \quad \text{and } u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in (-1, 1). \quad (11)$$

As a consequence of (11) no boundary conditions for u are needed.

The proof of the previous theorem is performed by deriving estimates which are uniformly valid for $0 < \delta < 1$. This proof starts with the suitable cancellation of the coupling terms in two equations which gives an estimate for u_δ in $L^\infty(L^2)$ and for φ_δ in $L^2(W^{1,2})$. Then we derive a lower bound for $\partial_x \varphi_\delta$ and an $L^\infty(W^{1,1})$ bound on u_δ which leads to an $L^\infty(W^{1,2})$ bound on u_δ . We will, by a compactness argument, show the convergence of u_δ to the smooth solution of the transport system (9), (10) and (11).

Next, we turn to the monostable case, that is when $E(u) = 1 - u$, and we study the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that u_0 is a nonnegative function in $W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ and $E(u) = (1 - u)$. For $\delta > 0$ let u_δ be the global nonnegative solution of (5) given by Theorem 3.2 below. Then, for all $T > 0$*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|u_\delta(t) - u(t)\|_C = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T),$$

where $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(-1, 1)) \cap L^\infty((0, T); W^{1,2}(-1, 1))$ is the unique smooth solution of the following transport system,

$$\partial_t u = -\partial_x(u \varphi) + r u (1 - u), \quad x \in (-1, 1), t > 0, \quad (12)$$

$$-\varepsilon \partial_x^2 \varphi + \varphi = -\partial_x u, \quad x \in (-1, 1), t > 0, \quad (13)$$

with boundary and initial conditions

$$\varphi(t, \pm 1) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \quad \text{and } u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in (-1, 1). \quad (14)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 follows the same lines as that of Theorem 2.1. As in the bistable case, there is a cancellation between the two equations but it only gives an $L^\infty(L \log L)$ bound on u_δ and an $L^2(W^{1,2})$ bound on φ_δ .

3 Well-Posedness of (5)

We first recall the notion of solution to (5) to be used in this paper.

Definition 3.1. Let $T > 0$, $E \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, and an initial condition $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$. For $0 < \delta < 1$, a strong solution to (5) on $[0, T)$ is a function

$$u_\delta \in C([0, T), W^{1,2}(-1, 1)) \cap C((0, T), W^{2,2}(-1, 1)),$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_\delta &= \delta \partial_x^2 u_\delta - \partial_x(u_\delta \varphi_\delta) + r u_\delta E(u_\delta), & \text{a.e. in } [0, T) \times (-1, 1) \\ u_\delta(0, x) &= u_0(x), & \text{a.e. in } (-1, 1) \\ \partial_x u_\delta(t, \pm 1) &= 0, & \text{a.e. on } [0, T), \end{cases}$$

where, for all $t \in [0, T)$, $\varphi_\delta(t)$ is the unique solution in $W^{2,2}(-1, 1)$ of

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta(t) + \varphi_\delta(t) &= \partial_x E(u_\delta(t)) & \text{a.e. in } (-1, 1) \\ \varphi_\delta(t, \pm 1) &= 0 \end{cases}$$

We now recall the global existence theorem which is proved in [9], where $E(u)$ is given by (6) or (7).

Theorem 3.2. Assume that u_0 is a nonnegative function in $W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$, and $E(u) = (1 - u)(u - a)$ for some $a \in (0, 1)$ or $E(u) = 1 - u$. Then (5) has a unique global nonnegative solution u in the sense of Definition 3.1.

4 Uniqueness

In this section we prove the uniqueness of the solution of (8). Let us first give the definition of the strong solution of (8).

Definition 4.1. Let $T > 0$, $E \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, and an initial condition $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$. A strong solution on $[0, T)$ to the transport system (8) is a function

$$u \in C([0, T), L^2(-1, 1)) \cap C((0, T), W^{1,2}(-1, 1)),$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u &= -\partial_x(u \varphi) + r u E(u), & \text{a.e. in } [0, T) \times (-1, 1) \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), & \text{a.e. in } (-1, 1), \end{cases}$$

where, for all $t \in [0, T)$, $\varphi(t)$ is the unique solution in $W^{2,2}(-1, 1)$ of

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon \partial_x^2 \varphi(t) + \varphi(t) &= \partial_x E(u(t)) & \text{a.e. in } (-1, 1) \\ \varphi(t, \pm 1) &= 0 \end{cases}.$$

The main result is contained in

Proposition 4.2. Assume that u_0 is a nonnegative function in $W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ and $E \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then for all $T > 0$, there exists at most one solution u of (8) in the sense of Definition 4.1, such that

$$u \in L^\infty((0, T), W^{1,1}(-1, 1)), \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \in L^\infty((0, T); W^{1,\infty}(-1, 1)). \quad (15)$$

Proof. Let us assume that there exist two different solutions u_1 and u_2 to (8) corresponding to the same initial conditions, and fix $T > 0$. We put

$$(u, \varphi) = (u_1 - u_2, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2), \text{ in } [0, T] \times (-1, 1).$$

Then (u, φ) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u &= -\partial_x(u \varphi_1) - \partial_x(u_2 \varphi) + r u_1 E(u_1) - r u_2 E(u_2), & \text{in } (0, T) \times (-1, 1) \\ -\varepsilon \partial_x^2 \varphi + \varphi &= E'(u_1) \partial_x u_1 - E'(u_2) \partial_x u_2, & \text{in } (0, T) \times (-1, 1) \\ \varphi(t, \pm 1) &= 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \\ u(0, x) &= 0, & \text{in } (-1, 1). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

We multiply the first equation in (16) by $\text{sign}(u)$, and integrate it by parts over $(-1, 1)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_1 &= - \int_{-1}^1 \varphi_1 \partial_x |u| dx - \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x \varphi_1 |u| dx \\ &\quad - \int_{-1}^1 \text{sign}(u) \partial_x \varphi u_2 dx - \int_{-1}^1 \varphi \partial_x u_2 \text{sign}(u) dx \\ &\quad + r \int_{-1}^1 (u_1 E(u_1) - u_2 E(u_2)) \text{sign}(u) dx \\ &\leq \|\partial_x \varphi\|_1 \|u_2\|_\infty + \|\partial_x u_2\|_1 \|\varphi\|_\infty + r \|u_1 E(u_1) - u_2 E(u_2)\|_1, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

since the first line in the right-hand side vanishes. Using the fact that u_1 and u_2 are bounded by (15) and the embedding of $W^{1,1}(-1, 1)$ in $L^\infty(-1, 1)$ we estimate

$$\|u_1 E(u_1) - u_2 E(u_2)\|_1 \leq C \|u\|_1. \quad (18)$$

Using (15), (18), and the continuous embedding of $W^{1,1}(-1, 1)$ in $L^\infty(-1, 1)$, (17) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_1 \leq C \|\partial_x \varphi\|_1 + C \|\varphi\|_\infty + C \|u\|_1. \quad (19)$$

To complete the proof of Proposition 4.2, it remains to estimate $\|\partial_x \varphi\|_1$ and $\|\varphi\|_\infty$.

For $x, y \in (-1, 1)$, we integrate the second equation in (16) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \int_y^x \partial_x^2 \varphi(z) dz + \int_y^x \varphi(z) dz &= \int_y^x (\partial_x E(u_1) - \partial_x E(u_2)) dz \\ -\varepsilon (\partial_x \varphi(x) - \partial_x \varphi(y)) + \int_y^x \varphi(z) dz &= [E(u_1(x)) - E(u_2(x)) - E(u_1(y)) + E(u_2(y))]. \end{aligned}$$

Next we integrate the above equality with respect to y over $(-1, 1)$ to obtain

$$\partial_x \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 \int_y^x \varphi(z) dz dy - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} E(u_1(x)) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} E(u_2(x)) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 (E(u_1(y)) - E(u_2(y))) dy.$$

This gives

$$|\partial_x \varphi(x)| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |E(u_1(x)) - E(u_2(x))| + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \|E(u_1) - E(u_2)\|_1.$$

Therefore

$$\|\partial_x \varphi\|_1 \leq 2 \frac{\|\varphi\|_1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|E(u_1) - E(u_2)\|_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|E(u_1) - E(u_2)\|_1.$$

Since u_1 and u_2 are bounded and $E \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ we obtain

$$\|\partial_x \varphi\|_1 \leq 2 \frac{\|\varphi\|_1}{\varepsilon} + C \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|u\|_1. \quad (20)$$

It remains to prove an L^1 estimate to φ . For that purpose, we define, for $i = 1, 2$, the function $\psi_i \in L^\infty((0, T), W^{2,\infty}(-1, 1))$ solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_x^2 \psi_i(t, x) &= \varphi_i(t, x), \text{ in } (-1, 1) \\ \psi_i(t, \pm 1) &= 0. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

We multiply the second equation in (16) by $\psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$ and integrate it over $(-1, 1)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_2^2 + \|\partial_x \psi\|_2^2 &= \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x (E(u_1) - E(u_2)) \psi \, dx \\ &\leq \|E(u_1) - E(u_2)\|_1 \|\partial_x \psi\|_\infty \leq C \|u\|_1 \|\partial_x \psi\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuous embedding of $W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ in $L^\infty(-1, 1)$ and by (21), the previous inequality reads

$$\|\partial_x \psi\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq C \|u\|_1$$

and

$$\|\varphi\|_1 \leq C \|\varphi\|_2 = C \|\partial_x^2 \psi\|_2 \leq C(\|\partial_x \psi\|_2 + \|\partial_x^2 \psi\|_2) \leq C \|u\|_1. \quad (22)$$

Substituting (22) into (20), and by the continuous embedding of $W^{1,1}(-1, 1)$ in $L^\infty(-1, 1)$ we obtain

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq C \|\partial_x \varphi\|_1 \leq C \|u\|_1. \quad (23)$$

Finally, we substitute (23) into (19) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_1 \leq C \|u\|_1 + r C \|u\|_1. \quad (24)$$

Gronwall's inequality applied to inequality (24) implies that the two solutions are identical, which proves Proposition 4.2. \square

5 The bistable case: $E(u) = (1 - u)(u - a)$

Let $T > 0$, the system (5) now reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_\delta &= \delta \partial_x^2 u_\delta - \partial_x(u_\delta \varphi_\delta) + r u_\delta (u_\delta - a)(1 - u_\delta), & \text{in } (0, T) \times (-1, 1) \\ -\varepsilon \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta + \varphi_\delta &= (-2u_\delta + (a + 1)) \partial_x u_\delta, & \text{in } (0, T) \times (-1, 1) \\ \partial_x u_\delta(t, \pm 1) &= \varphi_\delta(t, \pm 1) = 0, & \text{on } (0, T), \\ u_\delta(0, x) &= u_0(x), & \text{in } (-1, 1), \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

for some $a \in (0, 1)$.

Thanks to Theorem 3.2, (25) has a unique global nonnegative solution in the sense of the Definition 3.1.

Integrating (25) over $(0, T) \times (-1, 1)$ and using the nonnegativity of u_δ , we first observe that

$$\|u_\delta(t)\|_1 \leq \|u_0\|_1 + 2 r (1 - a) T, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (26)$$

5.1 Estimates

Lemma 5.1. *There is $C_1(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\int_0^T \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\partial_x \varphi_\delta\|_2^2 + \|\varphi_\delta\|_2^2 + 2 \delta \|\partial_x u_\delta\|_2^2 \right) dt \leq C_1(T), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \quad (27)$$

$$\|u_\delta(t)\|_2 \leq C_1(T), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad (28)$$

and

$$\int_0^T \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty^2 dt \leq C_1(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (29)$$

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (25) by $2 u_\delta$ and integrating it over $(-1, 1)$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 |u_\delta|^2 dx = -2 \delta \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_x u_\delta|^2 dx + 2 \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta \varphi_\delta \partial_x u_\delta dx + 2 r \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta^2 E(u_\delta) dx. \quad (30)$$

Multiplying now the second equation in (25) by φ_δ and integrating it over $(-1, 1)$ we obtain

$$\varepsilon \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_x \varphi_\delta|^2 dx + \int_{-1}^1 |\varphi_\delta|^2 dx = -2 \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta \varphi_\delta \partial_x u_\delta dx + (a+1) \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x u_\delta \varphi_\delta dx. \quad (31)$$

At this point we notice that the cubic terms on the right hand side of (30) and (31) cancel one with the other, and summing (31) and (30) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_\delta\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \|\partial_x \varphi_\delta\|_2^2 + \|\varphi_\delta\|_2^2 + 2 \delta \|\partial_x u_\delta\|_2^2 = 2 r \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta^2 E(u_\delta) dx + (a+1) \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x u_\delta \varphi_\delta dx. \quad (32)$$

We integrate by parts and use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$(a+1) \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x u_\delta \varphi_\delta dx = -(a+1) \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx \leq \frac{(a+1)^2}{2\varepsilon} \|u_\delta\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\partial_x \varphi_\delta\|_2^2.$$

On the other hand, $u_\delta^2 E(u_\delta) \leq 0$ if $u_\delta \notin (a, 1)$ so that

$$\int_{-1}^1 u_\delta^2 E(u_\delta) dx \leq 2(1-a)$$

The previous inequalities give that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_\delta\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\partial_x \varphi_\delta\|_2^2 + \|\varphi_\delta\|_2^2 + 2 \delta \|\partial_x u_\delta\|_2^2 \leq \frac{(a+1)^2}{2\varepsilon} \|u_\delta\|_2^2 + 4r(1-a).$$

Therefore, by a time integration, there exists $C_1(T)$ such that (27) and (28) hold. By the continuous embedding of $W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ in $L^\infty(-1, 1)$ we obtain (29). \square

Lemma 5.2. *There is $C_2(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\|\varphi_\delta(t)\|_2 \leq C_2(T), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (33)$$

Proof. We define the function $\psi \in L^2(0, T; W^{2,2}(-1, 1))$ solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_x^2 \psi_\delta &= \varphi_\delta & \text{in } (-1, 1) \\ \psi_\delta(t, \pm 1) &= 0, & \text{in } [0, T]. \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

Multiplying the second equation in (25) by ψ_δ and integrating it over $(-1, 1)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \int_{-1}^1 \varphi_\delta \partial_x^2 \psi_\delta \, dx - \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x^2 \psi_\delta \psi_\delta \, dx &= - \int_{-1}^1 E(u_\delta) \partial_x \psi_\delta \, dx \\ \varepsilon \|\partial_x^2 \psi_\delta\|_2^2 + \|\partial_x \psi_\delta\|_2^2 &\leq \|E(u_\delta)\|_1 \|\partial_x \psi_\delta\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using the embedding of $W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ in $L^\infty(-1, 1)$ and the specific form (7) of E we obtain

$$\|\partial_x \psi_\delta\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq C \|E(u_\delta)\|_1 \leq C (1 + \|u_\delta\|_2^2). \quad (35)$$

Using (34) and (28) the above inequation becomes

$$\|\varphi_\delta\|_2 = \|\partial_x^2 \psi_\delta\|_2 \leq \|\partial_x \psi_\delta\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq C. \quad (36)$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *For $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists $C(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\partial_x \varphi_\delta(x) \geq -4 \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty - \frac{(a+1)^2}{4\varepsilon} - C(T). \quad (37)$$

Proof. For $x, y \in (-1, 1)$, we integrate the second equation in (25) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \int_y^x \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta(z) \, dz + \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) \, dz &= \int_y^x (-2u_\delta + a + 1) \partial_x u_\delta \, dz \\ -\varepsilon (\partial_x \varphi_\delta(x) - \partial_x \varphi_\delta(y)) + \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) \, dz &= -[u_\delta^2(x) - u_\delta^2(y)] + (a+1) (u_\delta(x) - u_\delta(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Next we integrate the above equality with respect to y over $(-1, 1)$ to obtain

$$-2\varepsilon \partial_x \varphi_\delta(x) = - \int_{-1}^1 \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) \, dz dy - 2 u_\delta^2(x) + 2(a+1) u_\delta(x) + \|u_\delta\|_2^2 - (a+1) \|u_\delta\|_1.$$

Since $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_\delta^2 - \frac{(a+1)}{\varepsilon} u_\delta \geq -\frac{(a+1)^2}{4\varepsilon}$, and

$$\int_{-1}^1 \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) \, dz dy \geq - \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty \, dz dy \geq -4 \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty,$$

it follows from (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \varphi_\delta(x) &= \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) \, dz dy + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_\delta^2(x) - \frac{a+1}{\varepsilon} u_\delta(x) - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \|u_\delta\|_2^2 + \frac{a+1}{2\varepsilon} \|u_\delta\|_1 \\ &\geq -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty - \frac{(a+1)^2}{4\varepsilon} - C(T). \end{aligned}$$

□

We continue with estimates for the derivatives of u_δ .

Lemma 5.4. *There is $C_3(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\|\partial_x u_\delta(t)\|_1 \leq C_3(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (38)$$

Proof. We set $g_\delta = \partial_x u_\delta$ to simplify the notation, and differentiate the first equation in (25) with respect to x . This yields

$$\partial_t g_\delta + \partial_x^2 (u_\delta \varphi_\delta) - r \partial_x (u_\delta (1 - u_\delta) (u_\delta - a)) = \delta \partial_x^2 g_\delta. \quad (39)$$

We define an approximation of the sign function by $\sigma_\gamma(z) = \sigma(\frac{z}{\gamma})$, $0 < \gamma \ll 1$, with σ smooth and increasing, $\sigma(0) = 0$, and $\sigma(z) = \text{sign } z$ for $|z| > 1$. Then, with $\text{abs}_\gamma(z) = \int_0^z \sigma_\gamma(\xi) d\xi$, the convergence of $\text{abs}_\gamma(z)$ to $|z|$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ is uniform in $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Multiplying (39) by $\sigma_\gamma(g_\delta)$ and integrating with respect to x yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_t g_\delta dx &+ \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) [\partial_x (g_\delta \varphi_\delta) + g_\delta \partial_x \varphi_\delta + u_\delta \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta] dx \\ &- r \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) (-3 u_\delta^2 + 2(a+1) u_\delta - a) g_\delta dx \\ &= \delta \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x^2 g_\delta dx. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Since

$$\int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x (g_\delta \varphi_\delta) dx = - \int_{-1}^1 \sigma'_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x g_\delta g_\delta \varphi_\delta dx,$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x^2 g_\delta dx = - \int_{-1}^1 (\partial_x g_\delta)^2 \sigma'_\gamma(g_\delta) dx,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 \text{abs}_\gamma(g_\delta) dx &- \int_{-1}^1 \sigma'_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x g_\delta g_\delta \varphi_\delta dx \\ &+ \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) g_\delta \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx + \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) u_\delta \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta dx \\ &- r \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) (-3 u_\delta^2 + 2(a+1) u_\delta - a) g_\delta dx \\ &= -\delta \int_{-1}^1 (\partial_x g_\delta)^2 \sigma'_\gamma(g_\delta) dx \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The function $f_\gamma(z) = \sigma_\gamma(z) z - \text{abs}_\gamma(z)$ satisfies $f'_\gamma(z) = \sigma'_\gamma(z) z$ and converges to 0 uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{R}$. We integrate the second term in (41) by parts and we use the second equation in (25) in the fourth one to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 \text{abs}_\gamma(g_\delta) dx &+ \int_{-1}^1 f_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx + \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) g_\delta \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) u_\delta (2u_\delta - a - 1) g_\delta dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) u_\delta \varphi_\delta dx \\ &\leq r \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) (-3 u_\delta^2 + 2(a+1) u_\delta - a) g_\delta dx. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Now, $|g_\delta| \geq \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) g_\delta \geq 0$ and $(2u_\delta - a - 1) \geq 0$ if $u_\delta \geq \frac{a+1}{2}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) u_\delta (2u_\delta - a - 1) g_\delta dx &= \int_{u_\delta \geq \frac{a+1}{2}} \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) u_\delta (2u_\delta - a - 1) g_\delta dx \\ &+ 2 \int_{0 < u_\delta \leq \frac{a+1}{2}} u_\delta^2 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) g_\delta dx \\ &- (a+1) \int_{0 < u_\delta \leq \frac{a+1}{2}} \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) g_\delta (u_\delta) dx \\ &\geq -\frac{(a+1)^2}{2} \int_{0 < u_\delta \leq \frac{a+1}{2}} |g_\delta| dx \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) u_\delta (2u_\delta - a - 1) g_\delta dx \geq -\frac{(a+1)^2}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx. \quad (43)$$

Also, since $-3 u_\delta^2 + 2(a+1) u_\delta - a \leq \frac{(a+1)^2}{2}$ and $|g_\delta| \geq \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) g_\delta \geq 0$,

$$r \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) (-3 u_\delta^2 + 2(a+1) u_\delta - a) g_\delta dx \leq \frac{r(a+1)^2}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx. \quad (44)$$

Passing to the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in (42) the first term on the right-hand side vanishes. And it follows from Lemma 5.3, (43), (44) and (26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx &- \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty + \frac{(a+1)^2}{4\varepsilon} + C_2(T) \right) \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx - \frac{(a+1)^2}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx \\ &\leq \frac{\|u_\delta\|_1}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty + \frac{r(a+1)^2}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx \\ &\leq \frac{C(T)}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty + \frac{r(a+1)^2}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Integrating (45) in time, and using (29) yield that there exists $C_3(T)$ such that (38) holds. \square

Lemma 5.5. *There is $C_4(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\|u_\delta(t)\|_\infty \leq C_4(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad (46)$$

and

$$\|\partial_x \varphi_\delta(t)\|_\infty \leq C_4(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (47)$$

Proof. For all $T > 0$, (26) and Lemma 5.4 guarantee that

$$\|u_\delta(t)\|_{W^{1,1}} \leq C(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (48)$$

Therefore, the continuous embedding of $W^{1,1}(-1, 1)$ in $L^\infty(-1, 1)$ implies that (46) holds. On the other hand, Lemma 5.4, Lemma 5.2, (46) and the second equation in (25) ensure that $\partial_x \varphi_\delta(t)$ is bounded in $W^{1,1}(-1, 1)$ and by the continuous embedding of $W^{1,1}(-1, 1)$ in $L^\infty(-1, 1)$ we get (47). \square

Lemma 5.6. *There is $C_5(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\|\partial_x u_\delta(t)\|_2 \leq C_5(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (49)$$

Proof. Coming back to (39), $g_\delta = \partial_x u_\delta$ satisfies

$$\partial_t g_\delta = -\partial_x g_\delta \varphi_\delta - 2 g_\delta \partial_x \varphi_\delta - u_\delta \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta + r \partial_x (u_\delta (1 - u_\delta) (u_\delta - a)) + \delta \partial_x^2 g_\delta. \quad (50)$$

We multiply (50) by g_δ and integrate it over $(-1, 1)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|g_\delta\|_2^2 &= - \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x g_\delta \varphi_\delta g_\delta dx - 2 \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta|^2 \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx - \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta g_\delta dx \\ &\quad + r \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x (u_\delta (1 - u_\delta) (u_\delta - a)) g_\delta dx + \delta \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x^2 g_\delta g_\delta dx. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate by parts the last term of the right-hand side, and use the second equation in (25) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|g_\delta\|_2^2 &= - \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x \left(\frac{|g_\delta|^2}{2} \right) \varphi_\delta dx - 2 \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta|^2 \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta (-\varphi_\delta + E'(u_\delta) g_\delta) g_\delta dx \\ &\quad + r \int_{-1}^1 (-3 u_\delta^2 + 2(a+1)u_\delta - a) |g_\delta|^2 dx - \delta \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_x g_\delta|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate the first term in the right-hand side by parts and use Hölder inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|g_\delta\|_2^2 &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|g_\delta|^2}{2} \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx - 2 \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta|^2 \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta \varphi_\delta g_\delta dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta (-2u_\delta + a + 1) |g_\delta|^2 dx + r \int_{-1}^1 (-3 u_\delta^2 + 2(a+1)u_\delta - a) |g_\delta|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} \|g_\delta\|_2^2 \|\partial_x \varphi_\delta\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u_\delta\|_\infty \|\varphi_\delta\|_2 \|g_\delta\|_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u_\delta (-2u_\delta + a + 1)\|_\infty \|g_\delta\|_2^2 + r \|-3 u_\delta^2 + 2(a+1)u_\delta - a\|_\infty \|g_\delta\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (46), (47) and Young inequality we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|g_\delta\|_2^2 \leq C \|g_\delta\|_2^2 + C \|\varphi_\delta\|_2^2, \quad (51)$$

it follows from (27) after integration that (49) holds. \square

Lemma 5.7. *There is $C_6(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\|\partial_t u_\delta(t)\|_{(W^{1,2})'}^2 \leq C_6(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad (52)$$

where $(W^{1,2})'$ denotes the dual space of $W^{1,2}$.

Proof. Consider $\psi \in W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ and $t \in (0, T)$. We have by the first equation in (25)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{-1}^1 \partial_t u_\delta \psi dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 [\partial_x (\delta \partial_x u_\delta - u_\delta \varphi_\delta) + r u_\delta E(u_\delta)] \psi dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 (-\delta \partial_x u_\delta \partial_x \psi + u_\delta \varphi_\delta \partial_x \psi + r u_\delta (1 - u_\delta) (u_\delta - a) \psi) dx \right| \\ &\leq \delta \|\partial_x \psi\|_2 \|\partial_x u_\delta\|_2 + \|\partial_x \psi\|_2 \|u_\delta\|_\infty \|\varphi_\delta\|_2 + r \|u_\delta (1 - u_\delta)(u_\delta - a)\|_2 \|\psi\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (49), (46) and Lemma 5.2 we end up with

$$\left| \int_{-1}^1 \partial_t u_\delta \psi \, dx \right| \leq (\delta + 1 + r) C(T) \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq C(T) \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}}$$

since $0 < \delta < 1$. A duality argument gives

$$\|\partial_t u_\delta(t)\|_{(W^{1,2})'} \leq C_6(T), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

and the proof of Lemma 5.7 is complete. \square

5.2 Convergence

In this section we discuss the limit of $(u_\delta, \varphi_\delta)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. For that purpose, we study the compactness properties of $(u_\delta, \varphi_\delta)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Thanks to Lemma 5.6 and (27), $(u_\delta)_\delta$ is bounded in $L^\infty((0, T); W^{1,2}(-1, 1))$ while $(\partial_t u_\delta)_\delta$ is bounded in $L^\infty((0, T); (W^{1,2})'(-1, 1))$ by Lemma 5.7. Since $W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ is compactly embedded in $C[-1, 1]$ and $C[-1, 1]$ is continuously embedded in $(W^{1,2})'(-1, 1)$, it follows from [13, Corollary 4] that $(u_\delta)_\delta$ is relatively compact in $C([0, T] \times [-1, 1])$. Therefore, there are a sequence (δ_j) of positive real numbers, $\delta_j \rightarrow 0$, and $u \in L^\infty((0, T); W^{1,2}(-1, 1))$ such that

$$u_{\delta_j} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } L^2((0, T); W^{1,2}(-1, 1)), \quad (53)$$

and

$$u_{\delta_j} \longrightarrow u \quad \text{in } C([0, T] \times [-1, 1]). \quad (54)$$

Owing to Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.6, we may also assume that

$$\varphi_{\delta_j} \rightharpoonup \varphi \quad \text{in } L^2((0, T); W^{1,2}(-1, 1)) \quad \text{as } \delta_j \rightarrow 0, \quad (55)$$

and

$$\delta_j \partial_x u_{\delta_j} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2((0, T) \times (-1, 1)) \quad \text{as } \delta_j \rightarrow 0. \quad (56)$$

Owing to (53)-(56), it is straightforward to deduce from (25) that (u, φ) satisfies

$$\int_0^T \langle \partial_t u, \psi \rangle \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{-1}^1 (u \varphi \partial_x \psi + r u (1 - u)(u - a) \psi) \, dx \, dt, \quad (57)$$

and

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x \varphi \partial_x \psi \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{-1}^1 \varphi \psi \, dx \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{-1}^1 (-2u + a + 1) \partial_x u \psi \, dx \, dt. \quad (58)$$

for all test functions $\psi \in C^2([0, T] \times (-1, 1))$. Since (u, φ) satisfies (57) and (58), then (u, φ) is a weak solution of (9), (10) and (11). Recalling that $u \in L^\infty((0, T); W^{1,2}(-1, 1))$ and $\varphi \in L^\infty((0, T); W^{1,2}(-1, 1))$ by Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6, we deduce from (57) that $\partial_t u \in L^2((0, T) \times (-1, 1))$ and from (58) that $\varphi \in L^\infty((0, T); W^{2,2}(-1, 1))$, so that u solves (9) and (11) in the sense of Definition 4.1 with the regularity (15). By Proposition 4.2, such a solution is unique so that u is the only possible cluster point of $(u_\delta)_\delta$ in $C([0, T] \times [-1, 1])$. Therefore, the whole family $(u_\delta)_\delta$ converges to u in $C([0, T] \times [-1, 1])$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. \square

6 The monostable case, $E(u) = 1 - u$

Let $T > 0$, system (5) now reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_\delta &= \delta \partial_x^2 u_\delta - \partial_x(u_\delta \varphi_\delta) + r u_\delta (1 - u_\delta) & x \in (-1, 1), t > 0 \\ -\varepsilon \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta + \varphi_\delta &= -\partial_x u_\delta, & x \in (-1, 1), t > 0 \\ \partial_x u_\delta(t, \pm 1) = \varphi_\delta(t, \pm 1) &= 0 & t > 0, \\ u_\delta(0, x) &= u_0(x) & x \in (-1, 1), \end{cases} \quad (59)$$

Thanks to Theorem 3.2, system (59) has a unique global nonnegative solution in the sense of Definition 3.1.

Unlike the previous case, it does not seem to be possible to begin the proof with an $L^\infty(L^2)$ estimate on u_δ . Nevertheless, there is still a cancellation between the two equations which actually gives an $L^\infty(L \log L)$ bound on u_δ and a L^2 bound on $\partial_x \sqrt{u_\delta}$ as we shall see below. Integrating (59) over $[0, T] \times (-1, 1)$ and using the nonnegativity of u_δ , we first observe that,

$$\|u_\delta(t)\|_1 \leq \|u_0\|_1 + 2 r t, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (60)$$

6.1 Estimates

Lemma 6.1. *There is $C_7(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\int_0^T (\varepsilon \|\partial_x \varphi_\delta\|_2^2 + \|\varphi_\delta\|_2^2 + 4 \delta \|\partial_x \sqrt{u_\delta}\|_2^2) dt \leq C_7(T), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad (61)$$

$$\int_0^T \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty^2 dt \leq C_7(T), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (62)$$

Proof. The proof goes as follows. On the one hand, we multiply the first equation in (59) by $(\log u_\delta + 1)$ and integrate it over $(-1, 1)$. Since $u_\delta (1 - u_\delta) \log u_\delta \leq 0$ and $u_\delta (1 - u_\delta) \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta \log u_\delta dx &= - \int_{-1}^1 (\delta \partial_x u_\delta - u_\delta \varphi_\delta) \left(\frac{1}{u_\delta} \partial_x u_\delta \right) dx \\ &\quad + r \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta (1 - u_\delta) (\log u_\delta + 1) dx \\ &\leq - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\delta}{u_\delta} (\partial_x u_\delta)^2 dx + \int_{-1}^1 \varphi_\delta \partial_x u_\delta dx + 2 r. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

On the other hand, we multiply the second equation in (59) by φ_δ and integrate it over $(-1, 1)$ to obtain

$$\varepsilon \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_x \varphi_\delta|^2 dx + \int_{-1}^1 |\varphi_\delta|^2 dx = - \int_{-1}^1 \partial_x u_\delta \varphi_\delta dx. \quad (64)$$

Adding (63) and (64) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 u_\delta \log u_\delta dx + \varepsilon \|\partial_x \varphi_\delta\|_2^2 + \|\varphi_\delta\|_2^2 \leq -4 \delta \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_x \sqrt{u_\delta}|^2 dx + 2 r. \quad (65)$$

Then, (61) is obtained by a time integration of (65). Finally, by the continuous embedding of $W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ in $L^\infty(-1, 1)$ we obtain (62). \square

Lemma 6.2. For $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists $C_8(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that

$$\partial_x \varphi_\delta(x) \geq -4 \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty - C_8(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (66)$$

Proof. For $x, y \in (-1, 1)$, we integrate the second equation in (59) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \int_y^x \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta(z) dz + \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) dz &= -\int_y^x \partial_x u_\delta dz \\ -\varepsilon (\partial_x \varphi_\delta(x) - \partial_x \varphi_\delta(y)) + \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) dz &= -[u_\delta(x) - u_\delta(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Next we integrate the above equality with respect to y over $(-1, 1)$ to obtain

$$-2 \varepsilon \partial_x \varphi_\delta(x) = -\int_{-1}^1 \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) dz dy - 2 u_\delta(x) + \|u_\delta\|_1.$$

Since

$$\int_{-1}^1 \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) dz dy \geq -4 \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty,$$

and $u_\delta \geq 0$, it follows from (60) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \varphi_\delta(x) &= \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 \int_y^x \varphi_\delta(z) dz dy + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_\delta(x) - \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \|u_\delta\|_1 \\ &\geq -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty - C_8(T). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.3. There is $C_9(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that

$$\|\varphi_\delta(t)\|_2 \leq C_9(T), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (67)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.2. □

Now, we continue with estimates for the derivatives of u_δ .

Lemma 6.4. There is $C_{10}(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that

$$\|\partial_x u_\delta(t)\|_1 \leq C_{10}(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (68)$$

Proof. Differentiating the first equation in (59) with respect to x and setting $g_\delta = \partial_x u_\delta$ yield

$$\partial_t(g_\delta) + \partial_x^2(u_\delta \varphi_\delta) - r \partial_x(u_\delta (1 - u_\delta)) = \delta \partial_x^2 g_\delta. \quad (69)$$

We define as in the bistable case an approximation of the sign function by $\sigma_\gamma(z) = \sigma(\frac{z}{\gamma})$, $0 < \gamma \ll 1$, with σ smooth and increasing, $\sigma(0) = 0$, and $\sigma(z) = \text{sign } z$ for $|z| > 1$. Then, with $\text{abs}_\gamma(z) = \int_0^z \sigma_\gamma(\xi) d\xi$, the convergence of $\text{abs}_\gamma(z)$ to $|z|$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ is uniform in $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Multiplying (69) by $\sigma_\gamma(g_\delta)$ and integrating with respect to x yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_t(g_\delta) dx &+ \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) [\partial_x(g_\delta \varphi_\delta) + g_\delta \partial_x \varphi_\delta + u_\delta \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta] dx \\ &- r \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) (1 - 2 u_\delta) g_\delta dx \\ &= \delta \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x^2 g_\delta dx. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Since

$$\int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x(g_\delta \varphi_\delta) dx = - \int_{-1}^1 \sigma'_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x g_\delta g_\delta \varphi_\delta dx$$

and

$$\delta \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x^2 g_\delta dx = -\delta \int_{-1}^1 (\partial_x g_\delta)^2 \sigma'_\gamma(g_\delta) dx$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 \text{abs}_\gamma(g_\delta) dx &= \int_{-1}^1 \sigma'_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x g_\delta g_\delta \varphi_\delta dx \\ &+ \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) g_\delta \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx + \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) u_\delta \partial_x^2 \varphi_\delta dx \\ &- r \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) (1 - 2 u_\delta) g_\delta dx \\ &= -\delta \int_{-1}^1 (\partial_x g_\delta)^2 \sigma'_\gamma(g_\delta) dx \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The function $f_\gamma(z) = \sigma_\gamma(z) z - \text{abs}_\gamma(z)$ satisfies $f'_\gamma(z) = \sigma'_\gamma(z) z$ and converges to 0 uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{R}$. We integrate the second term in (71) by parts and we use the second equation in (59) in the fourth one to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 \text{abs}_\gamma(g_\delta) dx &+ \int_{-1}^1 f_\gamma(g_\delta) \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx + \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) g_\delta \partial_x \varphi_\delta dx \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) u_\delta g_\delta dx - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) u_\delta \varphi_\delta dx \\ &+ r \int_{-1}^1 \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) (1 - 2 u_\delta) g_\delta dx. \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u_\delta\|_1 \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty + r \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx, \end{aligned}$$

since $u_\delta \geq 0$, $1 - 2u_\delta \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma_\gamma(g_\delta) g_\delta \leq |g_\delta|$. Passing to the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in the above inequality, the second term on the left-hand side vanishes. It follows from Lemma 6.2 and (60) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx &= \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty + C_8(T) \right) \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx \\ &\leq \frac{C(T)}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi_\delta\|_\infty + r \int_{-1}^1 |g_\delta| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Integrating (72) in time, and using (62) yield that there exists $C_{10}(T)$ such that (68) holds. \square

As in the previous section, we have the following consequence of Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.4.

Lemma 6.5. *There is $C_{11}(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\|\partial_x \varphi_\delta(t)\|_\infty + \|u_\delta(t)\|_\infty \leq C_{11}(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (73)$$

Lemma 6.6. *There is $C_{12}(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\|\partial_x u_\delta(t)\|_2 \leq C_{12}(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (74)$$

Proof. Since (73) holds, we argue as in the proof of Lemma 5.6 to obtain (74). \square

Lemma 6.7. *There is $C_{13}(T) > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$\|\partial_t u_\delta(t)\|_{(W^{1,2})'}^2 \leq C_{13}(T) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (75)$$

Proof. Consider $\psi \in W^{1,2}(-1, 1)$ and $t \in (0, T)$. We have by the first equation in (59)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{-1}^1 \partial_t u_\delta \psi \, dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 (-\delta \partial_x u_\delta \partial_x \psi + u_\delta \varphi_\delta \partial_x \psi + r u_\delta (1 - u_\delta) \psi) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \delta \|\partial_x \psi\|_2 \|\partial_x u_\delta\|_2 + \|\partial_x \psi\|_2 \|u_\delta\|_\infty \|\varphi_\delta\|_2 + r \|u_\delta (1 - u_\delta)\|_2 \|\psi\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 6.3, Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.6, we end up with

$$\left| \int_{-1}^1 \partial_t u_\delta \psi \, dx \right| \leq C(T) \|\psi\|_{W^{1,2}},$$

and a duality argument gives

$$\|\partial_t u_\delta(t)\|_{(W^{1,2})'} \leq C(T), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

and the proof of Lemma 6.7 is complete. \square

6.2 Convergence

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Thanks to the previous analysis, the proof of Theorem 2.2 can then be done as that of Theorem 2.1. \square

Acknowledgment

I thank Philippe Laurençot for valuable and fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] J.A. Carrillo, M. DiFrancesco, A. Figalli, T. Laurent, D. Slepčev. Global-in-time weak measure solutions and finite-time aggregation for nonlocal interaction equations. *Duke Math. J.* 156 (2011), no. 2, 229-271.
- [2] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux. An Introduction to semilinear evolution equations. *Oxford lecture series in mathematics and its applications*, (2006).
- [3] J. P. Dias. A simplified variational model for the bidimensional coupled evolution equations of a nematic liquid crystal. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 67 (1979), no. 2, 525-541.
- [4] J. P. Dias. Un problème aux limites pour un système d'équations non linéaires tridimensionnel. *Bollettino, U. M.I.* (5) 16-B (1979), 22-31.

- [5] Y. Dolak, C. Schmeiser. The Keller-Segel model with logistic sensitivity function and small diffusivity. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 66 (2005), no. 1, 286-308
- [6] P. Grindrod. Models of individual aggregation or clustering in single and multi-species communities. *J. Math. Biol.* (1988) 26:651-660.
- [7] T. Laurent. Local and global existence for an aggregation equation. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 32 (2007), no. 10-12, 1941-1964.
- [8] P. Markowich, P. Szmolyan. A system of convection-diffusion equations with small diffusion coefficient arising in semiconductor physics. *J. Diff. Eq.* 81, (1989) 234-254.
- [9] E. Nasreddine. Well-posedness for a model of individual clustering. *ArXiv:1211.2969v1 [math.AP]* (2012).
- [10] B. Perthame, A.L Dalibard. Existence of solutions of the hyperbolic Keller-Segel model. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (2009), no. 5, 2319-2335.
- [11] M. Rascle, C. Ziti. Finite time blow-up in some models of chemotaxis. *J. Math. Biol.* 33 (1995), no. 4, 388-414.
- [12] M. Schoenauer. Quelques résultats de régularité pour un système elliptique avec conditions aux limites couplées. *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse 5e série, tome 2, no. 2*(1980), 125-135.
- [13] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (IV)*, vol. CXLVI, (1987), 65-69.